Cheshire West and Chester Council Local Plan (Part Two) Land Allocations and Detailed Policies Preferred Approach - Consultation Version

Recommendation for responses from Malpas & No Mans Heath Parish Councils

6 Rural Area

R1 - Key service centres – Page 59

Question 22

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

Question 23

If there is a requirement for additional housing in Tattenhall, how should this be met? - Yes. There are still outstanding planning applications in Tattenhall requiring determination. (With the Secretary of State for determination)

Tattenhall should accommodate their own pro-rata share of Rural Housing development requirements as all the other Key Service Centres have done.

R2 - Local service centres - Page 61

Question 24

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed.

No - Do not agree that No Mans Heath meets the criteria for a Local Service Centre.

Paragraphs 3.18 and 3.19 of the Cheshire West and Chester Council Local Service Centre Methodology document (dated January 2016) determine the scoring and criteria for the designation of the settlement as a Local Service Centre.

The document indicates that the suitability of rural settlements as local service centres at Stage one should contain the following services/facilities:

A primary school

A local convenience store or post office

A community meeting place or a place of worship

A public house

A point is scored for each of these facilities

This said document states that settlements with a score of 3 or 4 with access to public transport, are deemed to be suitable as being designated as Local service centres

No Mans Heath does not have a primary school, or a community meeting place or a place of worship. In this respect No Mans Heath only score 2 points and therefore does not meet the criteria for being designated as a Local Service Centre

The settlement boundaries for the designated Key Service Centres and Local Service Centres should be reviewed with reference to existing dwellings and granted permissions in the pipeline.

R3 - Employment land provision in the rural area - Page 63 Question 25

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. No not completely. The employment/nature of the specific business on the small rural industrial estate should be limited to a light industrial nature or with a rural association.

At Hampton consideration should also be given to the access road off the A41 Trunk Road; this encompassing the hazardous road layout at the A41 roundabout at Hampton and the Whitchurch to Tattenhall old railway bridge on the B5069 access road.

7 Green Belt and countryside

GBC1 - Sustainable use of land and prudent use of natural resources – Page 67 Question 27

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed.

No – Unable to properly comment. Further explanation is required on what is meant by the Policy headline statement "prudent use of natural resources".

GBC2 - Rural workers dwellings - Page 69

Question 28

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. No - Need to understand why the dwelling would be classified as an "affordable" dwelling in the first instance.

How will it be ensured that the rural workers dwelling will remain as affordable housing and not sold on at full market value.

GBC3 - Replacement dwellings, extensions, alterations and changes of use – Page 71

Question 29

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. No - Need to understand what is meant by "material larger" in criteria number 1. Surely there should be some percentage guideline attached to this policy.

GBC5 - Protection of countryside and landscape - Page 77

Question 31

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

GBC6 - Key settlement gaps - Page 80

Question 32

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. The alternative option to also include the 4 additional key settlement gaps in the policy should be adopted. However, this should be subject to a clause that allowed building of key infrastructure service or facility or service e.g. Fire Station, School, or Rural Medical Treatment Centre etc.

8 Transport and accessibility

T5 - Rail corridors - Page 91

Question 39

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes - support

9 Infrastructure

INF1 - ICT and telecommunications

Question 41

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. No - we support the alternative option. Rural areas should not be disadvantaged just because of some potential increased costs

10 Development Management Policies

DM1 - Development management - Page 98

Question 42

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes support

DM2 - Redevelopment and refurbishment of employment land and premises – page 102

Question 43

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes -support

DM3 - New agricultural buildings - page 103

Question 44

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. No - this policy should consider the need to withdraw permitted development rights (in perpetuity rather than 10 years) to prevent the conversion of the building to another use

DM4 - Rural diversification – Page 105

Question 45

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes in principle, but the controls need to be better defined.

DM5 - Equestrian development - page 107

Question 46

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes - support

DM7 - District and local retail centres - Page 111

Question 48

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes - support

DM8 - Local shops and farm shops - Page 113

Question 49

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. The policy is reasonable ok, but the wording "reasonable attempts" needs better defining i.e. 6 months. The policy also needs to make reference to the Community Right to Buy options and Shops registered as Assets of Community Value (ACV)

DM9 - Shopfronts - Page 115 Visitor economy

Question 50

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. No. - The design, materials, proportions and colour should relate to the character of the building as an entity and the character of the locality; This policy needs strengthening to reflect the settlements with designated conservation areas.

DM10 - Visitor accommodation - Page 117

Question 51

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes - support

DM11 - Touring caravan and camping sites - Page 118

Question 52

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes - support

DM12 - Affordable housing – Page 120 Rural exception sites

Question 53

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed.

No - Need to define the spatial areas in the preferences.

2nd preference – off-site provision, located within the same spatial area as the approved development, secured through a S106 legal agreement;

3rd preference – financial contributions to be used to deliver affordable dwellings within the same spatial area as the approved development

DM13 - Rural exception sites Page 122

Question 54

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. No – Amend policy to read "The need for the development must be clearly demonstrated through an up to date and robust assessment of local housing needs based on the Council's most up-to-date housing waiting list, or if existing, take into consideration a local needs survey undertaken by Parish Councils."

DM14 - Mix and type of new housing development - Page 124 Question 55

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. The mix and type and volume should be closely related to the demand as identified by a local survey by the Parish/Town Councils or an equivalent financial contribution be made into a central "Affordable Housing pot" that would be ring fenced for possible future development in that local area.

The final sentence should read

The Council will **insist on** the inclusion of new dwellings meeting Category 3 (wheelchair user dwellings) as part of new developments.

DM15 - Specialist accommodation - Page 126

Question 56

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes

DM16 - Student accommodation - Page 128

Question 57

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes

DM17 - Houses in Multiple Occupation - Page 130

Question 58

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM18 - Residential annexes - Page 132

Question 59

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM19 - Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpersons' accommodation Question 60

Do you have any comments on the suitability of the sites suggested in the WYG study, including the availability of the site(s)? Yes we agree with Hampton being indicated as a red site. Its location is a remote unsustainable location and is therefore inappropriate.

Question 61

Are there any other sites, including those on the consultant's long-list of sites, that should be taken forward? No

DM20 - Health impacts of new development 135

Question 62

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. We question the statement "not be permitted where it is considered that there may be significant adverse impacts on residential amenity in terms of noise, vibrations, odours, traffic disturbance, litter or hours of operation as a result of the proposed premises."

We would like to see the use of alternative option 1. i.e. extend the policy to all development.

Is this saying Health Impacts will need to be measured? How will it be policed?

DM21 - Noise 138

Question 63

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes

DM22 - Land contamination and instability 140

Question 64

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed – yes

DM23 - Air quality 142

DM24 - Culture and Public Art - Page 146

Question 65

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes

DM25 - Open space provision in new development – Page 148 Question 66

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. Where on-site provision is deemed impractical, and off-site provision or enhancements to existing open space is deemed appropriate, then this should be legally tied through a section 106 agreement to the immediate area i.e. Parish or Ward, and not a "central pot"

DM26 - Provision for sport and recreation - Page 152

Question 67

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. Yes

DM27 - Recreational routeways - Page 154

Question 68

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM28 - Tourism and recreation use at minerals restoration sites – Page 156 Question 69

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM30 - Community facilities - Page 159

Question 71

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM31 - Development and flood risk - Page 161

Question 72

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM32 - Requirements for a site specific flood risk assessment - Page163 Question 73

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM33 - Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) - Page 165

Question 74

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM34 - Flood water storage and critical drainage areas – Page 167 Question 75

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM35 - Reducing flood risk through site layout, design and phasing Page 169 Question 76

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes

DM36 - Water quality, supply and treatment - Page 171

Question 77

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM37 - Trees, woodland and hedgerows - Page 173

Question 78

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - No. This policy needs to include/make reference to the actual detail of the off-site provision contribution i.e. "how much"

DM38 - Ecological network - Page 175

Question 79

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - Yes.

DM39 - Biodiversity and geodiversity in new development - Page 178 Question 80

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. Have some concern over the lack of benchmarks, which would leave the door open for each individual developer to set their own evaluation criteria for the value and extent of the features.

DM40 - Development in conservation areas - Page 181

Question 81

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. Have some concern that the determination of this policy will rely on an individual's "subjective" view.

DM41 - Listed buildings - Page 184

Question 82

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM42 - Non-listed buildings and structures of architectural and historic interest – Page 186

Question 83

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM43 - Registered landscapes - Page 188

Question 84

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM44 - Archaeology - Page 190

Question 85

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. This policy is not strong enough to protect historic archaeology in the rural areas, where little or no "desk top" evidenced records exist due to the lack of previous controls. (There is a flayed approach in the existing process of a desk top search revealing little evidence, so it is then assumed nothing exists, and development is allowed to proceed unmonitored. The consequently of this, given the financial pressures on developers is that nothing is highlighted even if it did exist. Hence further evidence never gets added to the records.)

In these areas where there has been previous lack of control and there is a potential archaeological interest as determined by the local community, (i.e. Neighbourhood Plan identified) applications **must be** accompanied by an appropriate assessment of the archaeological impact of the development. A field evaluation prior to the determination of the planning application **must also be** required.

DM45 - Sustainable construction - Page 192

Question 86

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes, but is this achieveable for single dwellings?

DM46 - Parking and access - Page 195

Question 87

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. Again this policy needs to be stronger in ensuring peoples individual safety by either incorporating measures to assist access to and around the site by pedestrians, and cyclists.

All development on the fringes of rural settlements should deliver or contribute to providing pavements/footways, pedestrian crossings, cycleways, cycle crossings, and traffic calming measures as necessary to provide a continuous connection to the settlement centres.

DM47 - New or extension to hazardous installations – Page 198 Question 88

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM48 - Development in the vicinity of hazardous installations – Page 200 Question 89

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM49 - Advertisements - Page 202

Question 90

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM50 - Oil and gas development – Page 205 Question 91

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No. In the statement "The cumulative impact on local communities and the environment with existing or proposed development of a similar kind in the same or adjoining areas is considered acceptable" it needs to be defined who this is acceptable to. This should be the local community.

DM51 - Wind energy - Page 209

Question 92

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM52 - Solar energy - Page 212

Question 93

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No the statement in the policy "Where it is clearly demonstrated that there are no suitable sites on previously developed land and development is proposed on agricultural land, the best and most versatile land should be avoided in favour of lesser quality land" is too "woolly"

There needs to be a clear indication of what the factors are, that demonstrate that there are no other suitable sites.

Also that development on land defined as best and most versatile after a comprehensive assessment should be prohibited.

DM53 - Requirements for proposals for development of waste management facilities – Page 214

Question 94

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No Option 2 should be included as a separate policy.

DM54 - Sites for replacement household waste recycling facilities – Page 216 Question 95

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. - No It is essential that remote rural household waste sites be retained. Residents will not make a 30 mile round trip to Chester to recycle household waste. Closure of these rural sites will drive an exponential increase in Fly Tipping.

Question 96

Are there any suitable sites for new HWRCs (Household Waste Recycling Centres) in the Frodsham area and the rural area around Tattenhall? – Not familiar with the Frodsham area. Unaware of any in the Tattenhall area, unless space exists at the old Cheshire County Council depot at Milton Green.

DM55 - Future sand and gravel working – Page 218

Question 97

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM56 - Mineral Safeguarding Areas - prior extraction of minerals – Page 221 Question 98

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM57 - Proposals for minerals working - Page 224

Question 99

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM58 - Restoration of minerals sites - Page 226

Question 100

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM59 - Salt and brine working - Page 228

Question 101

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – Yes

DM60 - Industrial sand proposals - Page 229

Question 102

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No, The option of identifying a safeguarded preferred area for silica sand should be included in the policy.

DM61 - Minerals infrastructure - Page 231

Question 103

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please say why and explain what alternative approach should be followed. – No, The option of an additional study should be undertaken as an update to the work completed in 2011 and additional sites identified then added to the list.

Draft recommendations produced by:

Chris Whitehurst Malpas Ward Councillor 10th September 2016